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ABSTRACT

Along with technological development as well as borderless phenomenon in the era of globalization, transnational organised crime emerged. The rapid rise of transnational organised crime in the South East Asian region over recent years has prompted action by nations acting both domestically through legislation and policies, as well as internationally through bilateral and multilateral cooperation.

East Asia plays host to a vast array of transnational crimes, however, the ones which will be specifically addressed within this essay are human trafficking, terrorism, wildlife trafficking and piracy. Each issue will be examined individually, with a preliminary outline of the crime’s nature, followed by an analysis of specific domestic and international approaches towards combating it. It will be argued that the effective control of transnational organised crime requires more than individual States working individually to protect their own interests. International cooperation between States that involves coherent and consistent strategies tailored to the nature of the crime is essential if transnational organised crime is to be effectively counteracted within East Asia.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Transnational organised crime is an ever-expanding global problem with far-reaching impacts in all corners of the world. For the purposes of this essay, ‘transnational organised crime’ is defined broadly to refer to the ‘behaviour of ongoing organizations that involves two or more nations, with such behaviour being defined as criminal by at least one of these nations’. This definition is sufficiently broad and
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accounts for the possibility of jurisdictional discrepancies in the criminalisation of certain activities.

The rapid growth of transnational organised crime in South East Asia has been aided by the phenomenon of globalisation, the increased interconnectedness of the world’s sovereign states. Globalisation has had hugely beneficial effects for society, improving the global economy, propelling the ‘information revolution’, and allowing for increased international inter-personal connectivity on a scale previously unheard of.

However, despite the numerous benefits globalisation has produced, it has also allowed criminals to seamlessly coordinate and carry out their illegal activities across national borders. The rapid growth of transnational organised crime cannot be understated, worldwide it was estimated in 2009 to generate over USD $870 billion annually. Within East Asia and the Pacific region alone, the growth of transnational organised crime has been so rapid in recent years that as of 2014 it has been conservatively estimated to generate over USD $90 billion annually, an amount which gains even greater significance when compared to the gross domestic product (GDP) of many countries in the South East Asian region.

The rapid rise of transnational organised crime in the South East Asian region over recent years has prompted action by nations acting both domestically through legislation and policies, as well as internationally through bilateral and multilateral cooperation. At a regional level, this is evidenced through the efforts of the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN), which have created the ASEAN Political-Security Community Framework which aims to facilitate and strengthen political and security cooperation within member States.
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('Transnational Organised Crime in East Asia and the Pacific').
East Asia plays host to a vast array of transnational crimes,\textsuperscript{13} however, the ones which will be specifically addressed within this essay are human trafficking, terrorism, wildlife trafficking and piracy. Each issue will be examined individually, with a preliminary outline of the crime's nature, followed by an analysis of specific domestic and international approaches towards combatting it. It will be argued that the effective control of transnational organised crime requires more than individual States working individually to protect their own interests. International cooperation between States that involves coherent and consistent strategies tailored to the nature of the crime is essential if transnational organised crime is to be effectively counteracted within East Asia.

\section*{II. RESULT AND DISCUSSION}

\textbf{Human Trafficking – Indonesia’s Domestic And International Response}
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Human trafficking is considered to be one of the largest crimes of the twentieth century\textsuperscript{14} and despite increased global action to combat it since 2000 it remains one of the most pervasive transnational crimes.\textsuperscript{15} ‘Human trafficking’ is an umbrella term used to describe the situation in which one person holds another in involuntary servitude.\textsuperscript{16} Although generating a precise figure of the amount of trafficking victims worldwide is complex and has not recently been possible with any certainty,\textsuperscript{17} in 2005 it was estimated that there were over 2.5 million individuals being exploited as victims of human trafficking, with annual profits from trafficking amounting to over USD $31 billion.\textsuperscript{18} Human trafficking has detrimental impacts on almost all sectors of society; it harms the economy of both the country of origin and destination, victims often suffer endure serious traumatic conditions, causes breakdowns of social
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structure, and through corruption it erodes the capacity of the state to effectively protect its citizens.\textsuperscript{19}

Indonesia is a major source country of trafficking victims involved in forced labour and sexual exploitation.\textsuperscript{20} As a country, Indonesia’s people are susceptible to becoming victims of human trafficking for many reasons that include poverty, a lack of available employment and education, unequal gender roles,\textsuperscript{21} and the fact that as of 2013 only 67\% of Indonesian children are registered with a birth certificate.\textsuperscript{22}

A further contributing factor to Indonesia’s ongoing struggle with human trafficking is that it was not until the first decade of the 21\textsuperscript{st} century that the country developed a concerted national effort to combat human trafficking. Prior to this Indonesia did not have a law against all forms of human trafficking and the only specific legislative provisions on trafficking related to women and children.\textsuperscript{23} Enforcement of the trafficking laws was also lax, with maximum sentences rarely imposed, widespread official corruption, and government action limited by funding and the difficulty of monitoring an area the size of the Indonesian archipelago.\textsuperscript{24} This combination of factors made human trafficking effectively beyond the scope of Indonesia’s control and along with international pressures, made it necessary for the nation to work towards the introduction of its first comprehensive anti-trafficking legislation.\textsuperscript{25}

Since the turn of the century, Indonesia has made significant advancements in developing a stronger approach towards human trafficking.\textsuperscript{26} In 2002 the Indonesian National Plan of
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Action for the Elimination of Trafficking in Women and Children was enacted, and in 2007 the Indonesian government introduced comprehensive anti-trafficking legislation. This was Indonesia’s first national legislation that defined human trafficking explicitly and expansively enough to cover all forms of trafficking into and out of Indonesia. Whilst there has been an increase in anti-trafficking prosecutions and convictions since the enactment of this legislation, reports suggest that corruption, a lack of funding for anti-trafficking task forces, and unfamiliarity with the anti-trafficking laws have all contributed to a less than optimal response to human trafficking within Indonesia.

Indonesia’s increased domestic efforts to combat human trafficking came about following increased international attention towards human trafficking and the worldwide recognition of it as a serious transnational organised crime. A united global effort to address human trafficking was crystallised in 2000 with the introduction of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organised Crime (Palermo Convention) and its associated Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children (Trafficking Protocol). The Palermo Convention and the Trafficking Protocol were introduced with the aims of removing differences between state laws, setting a common standard to combat human trafficking and
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promoting cooperation between state parties to effectively achieve this.\textsuperscript{33} 

Indonesia became a signatory to both the Palermo Convention and the Trafficking Protocol on 12 December 2000, signifying their intent and willingness to adhere to and ratify both documents.\textsuperscript{34} However, it was not until 2009 that Indonesia ratified the Palermo Convention and the Trafficking Protocol, meaning that until this time the country was not considered bound to adhere to either document.\textsuperscript{35}

It is evident that international focus has prompted Indonesia to work towards creating a stronger approach to address trafficking within the South East Asian region. Such international focus is epitomised by the publishing by the United States Department of State’s Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons of the ‘Trafficking in Persons Report’ (TIP Report).\textsuperscript{36} These reports have been published annually since 2001 and rank countries into one of three tiers depending on their government’s level of compliance with the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking outlined by the United States’ anti-trafficking legislation.\textsuperscript{37}

Indonesia was placed in tier 3 in the 2001 TIP Report alongside the South East Asian countries of Burma and Malaysia, signalling that the country did not comply with the minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking and was not making significant efforts to do so.\textsuperscript{38} Countries placed in tier 3 are at risk of sanctions from the United States in the form of restrictions on funding, especially relating to counteracting of human trafficking.\textsuperscript{39} Consequentially, in the following years Indonesia increased its efforts to combat human trafficking which were acknowledged by its upgrade to tier 2 of the TIP report in 2003\textsuperscript{40} where the country has remained.
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since.\textsuperscript{41} It may therefore be argued that Indonesia has strengthened its approach towards human trafficking as part of cooperation on an international scale with the United States. Were it not for this cooperation and the funding aid provided by the United States, it is probably that the Indonesian government would be unable to allocate sufficient resources to combat human trafficking.

Further, Indonesia has utilised international cooperation via bilateral and multilateral arrangements with other nations to address human trafficking. Bilateral Memorandums of Understanding have been introduced with Malaysia,\textsuperscript{42} Taiwan\textsuperscript{43} and most recently the United Arab Emirates in 2015\textsuperscript{44} with the intent of preventing the trafficking of individuals out of Indonesia. Whilst these bilateral initiatives are an important component of addressing human trafficking, regional cooperative action is essential as there are many avenues through which people may be trafficked, and vast resources are required to enforce anti-trafficking laws.\textsuperscript{45}

In recognition of the need for cohesive regional action to disrupt human trafficking throughout South East Asia, in 2002 the governments of Indonesia and Australia jointly convened the Bali Ministerial Conference on People Smuggling, Trafficking in Persons and Related Transnational Crime, now referred to as the Bali Process.\textsuperscript{46} The Bali Process aims to promote collaboration between all Member States in areas such as information sharing, cooperation between border security forces, strong national legislation and increasing opportunities for legal migration.\textsuperscript{47}
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Whilst the Bali Process does not of itself create legally binding obligations on Member States it has acted as an important mechanism to enable better action against human trafficking at the regional level.48

The efforts of Indonesia both domestically and internationally combine to demonstrate the importance of transnational cooperation to combat human trafficking. Whilst at a domestic level Indonesia has struggled to effectively address human trafficking due to factors including corruption and a lack of funding for task force, at the international level Indonesia has managed to cooperate as part of a broad regional approach. International approaches to human trafficking that combine the funding, intelligence and force of many countries have proven to be a method of combatting human trafficking.

**Terrorism – Indonesian And Asean Responses**

Since the turn of the century and the tragic terror attacks of 9/11 and the 2002 Bali Bombings, terrorism has featured in the global spotlight as an issue requiring worldwide cooperation. Within South East Asia, terrorism has become an area of significant concern and has prompted regional cooperation so as to develop strategies to address the crime.49 Prior to the occurrence of 9/11, terrorism was primarily treated as a domestic issue within Asian countries with little transnational cooperation as terrorist groups tended to be located within a single country.50

Indonesia’s history of terrorism and counter-terrorism action provides a clear example of the problems associated with treating terrorist activities as a solely domestic problem. Until the start of the 21st century terrorism was linked to separatist movements in several Indonesian provinces,51 however the governmental approach to these situations was authoritarian and used military force and anti-subversion legislation to crush uprisings.52 Prior to 9/11 Indonesia had not enacted specific anti-terrorism legislation nor had they become a signatory to or ratified the UN
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Conventions relating to terrorist funding and bombings. Indeed, in the years prior to the Bali Bombings, the Indonesian government appeared to be in denial regarding terrorism, with Vice President Hamzah Haz asserting that there were no terrorists in Indonesia.

The failure of Indonesia to recognise the threat of terrorism and lack of international cooperation to stand against terrorist activities ultimately culminated in the 2002 Bali Bombings which acted as the impetus for great counterterrorism activity both domestically and through international cooperation.

The usefulness of bilateral arrangements in combatting terrorist threats has been demonstrated through a memorandum of understanding signed between Indonesia and Australia in the wake of the Bali Bombings. As almost fifty percent of the victims of the Bali Bombings were Australian, Australia had a vested interest in ensuring that the perpetrators of the attack were brought to justice. The memorandum of understanding expressed the intent of both countries to enhance cooperation between defence, security and law enforcement agencies to combat terrorism. The framework provided by this agreement allowed Australian police to almost immediately respond following the Bali Bombings, coordinating a joint investigation which was ultimately responsible for the arrest of the alleged perpetrators.

The undeniably effective efforts of Australian and Indonesian officials in the wake of the Bali Bombings was ultimately afforded through the presence of a memorandum of understanding and strong cooperative intent shared between
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the countries. This demonstrates the importance of transnational cooperation designed to cater for the needs of both nations.

Within the ASEAN nations as a whole following the terrorist attacks of 9/11 there became an increased awareness of, although not an immediately productive response to the threat of terrorism.60 ASEAN member states differed in their belief as to how collectively they should act to fight terrorism due to differences in domestic political stability and varying concerns as to the impact that involving other nations in counter-terrorism may have.61 Indonesia is a notable example of this, as their government appeared ambivalent regarding multilateral counterterrorism cooperation with the United States. The United States military action in the Middle East was seen by as an attack on Islam, not against terrorism by many Islamic groups within Indonesia.62 These groups were vocal in their denouncement of the United States and contributed to the initial Indonesian refusal to treat terrorism as a transnational issue.63 It was not until after the Bali Bombings that Indonesia and ASEAN as a whole began to take serious action at a regional level to address terrorism.

ASEAN has been an essential component of coordinating regional action to combat terrorism in South East Asia as evidenced by the arrest and conviction of numerous individuals involved in the Bali Bombings and other terrorist activities. One of the first arrests was of Abu Bakar Ba’aysir, the alleged spiritual leader of Jemaah Islamiyah, the terrorist organisation which claimed responsibility for the Bali Bombings.64 This was quickly followed by further arrests throughout Indonesia, Thailand and Singapore of individuals linked to the Bali Bombings and other terrorist activities.65 Many of these arrests were carried out following intelligence sharing between the three nations,66 demonstrating the benefits that multilateral cooperation conveys when combatting transnational crimes.

Greater consultation and cooperation between ASEAN members began to occur following the events of
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9/11 due to the recognition that a multifaceted approach was necessary to combat terrorism.\textsuperscript{67} One of the first arrangements was a trilateral agreement between the Philippines, Indonesia and Malaysia, later joined by Cambodia and Thailand. This agreement committed the parties to share information including airline passenger lists, names of known criminals, fingerprint databases, as well as to strengthen border security between the countries.\textsuperscript{68} This agreement has proven useful but as the countries in South East Asia vary in their anti-terror legislation, greater harmonisation was necessary so that differences in legal systems did not impede in efforts to control terrorism.\textsuperscript{69}

In recognition of the transnational capabilities of terrorism to compromise the entire South East Asian region, all ASEAN member countries adopted the ASEAN Convention on Counter-Terrorism (ACCT) in 2007, which then came into force in 2011.\textsuperscript{70} The ACCT was introduced with the intent to unite the ASEAN member countries, with the substantive content of the convention based on the twelve international treaties developed by the UN that were already in existence.\textsuperscript{71} The ACCT has helped to provide the ASEAN region with a more effective and regimented legal framework, an important characteristic given the varying anti-terrorism laws that exist throughout the ASEAN countries.\textsuperscript{72}

Due to the transnational nature of terrorism and its increased potential to affect many nations at once, it has been imperative for the nations of South East Asia to develop a coordinated overarching strategy to address terrorism. Bilateral arrangements, such as the memorandum of understanding and cooperation between Indonesia and Australia following the Bali Bombings have been important as those nations were most affected by the attacks. However, it is important for bilateral arrangements to be part of a greater regional response such as the ACCT so as to facilitate effective preventative and counter-active measures across all areas.
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Piracy: The Malacca and Singapore Straits, Trilateral, Regional And Extra-Regional Cooperation

Piracy is one of the world’s oldest crimes, and remains a transnational crime of significant concern globally and particularly South East Asia today. The Malacca and Singapore Straits (the Straits), located in the shared territorial waters of Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore (the littoral states) are considered as two of the most important shipping straits in the world. They provide the shortest navigational route between the Indian Ocean and South China Sea and play host to around 60,000 vessels per year, of which between thirty and forty percent are oil tankers, essential to the supply of the energy needs to many countries. It is therefore imperative that vessels passing through the Straits are able to do so free from the threat of piracy.

Piracy within the Straits has risen since the early 1990s in accordance with wider economic and legal factors. According to annual reports from the International Maritime Bureau (IMB), instances of piracy steadily rose until from 1990 until 1998, before the effects of the 1997 Asian financial crisis drove instances of piracy to significantly higher levels until 2004. It was evident by this point to the littoral states that efforts to combat piracy in the Straits were failing, and that stronger regional cooperation was required to address piracy.

Prior to the formation of a trilateral agreement between the littoral states, there were significant difficulties with transnational cooperation to counteract piracy in the Straits. Due to the nature of the Straits, international law was ill-equipped to provide how acts of piracy should be dealt with. The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) defines piracy narrowly, limiting it to illegal acts of violence or detention committed on the high seas or outside the jurisdiction of any state. The narrowness of this definition would exclude it from covering many attacks in
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the Straits, which occur within the territorial waters of the littoral states.

Additionally, due to concerns of national sovereignty, the littoral states and extra-regional states lacked a coordinated plan of action to combat piracy within the Straits. National sovereignty, the right of a state to maintain absolute governance over aspects within its jurisdiction has been a concern of both Indonesia and Malaysia and a key factor in their consistent rejection of extra-regional offers to assist in patrolling the Straits.

Furthermore, the complexities of pursuing a pirate vessel are compounded when they take place between states that share maritime borders. As provided by the UNCLOS, a coastal state is allowed to pursue a foreign vessel from within its own territorial waters into international waters, or to pursue a pirate ship whilst in international waters. However, both these rights cease once the pursued ship enters another state’s territorial waters, effectively preventing arrest unless there is coordination with the state whose territory the pursued ship has entered.

In recognition of the need for law enforcement cooperation to address the problems created by the gap in international law relating to piracy within the Straits, coordinated naval patrol arrangements between Indonesia and Singapore, and Indonesia and Malaysia have been carried out since the early 1990s. The success of these agreements prompted the establishment of the first trilateral maritime security arrangement between the littoral states, established in 2004 and known as the Malacca Straits Patrol Network. This arrangement established year-round patrols involving dedicated ships from each of the littoral states. Following the early success of these patrols, in 2005 Thailand became a member of the Patrol Network and the four countries began to conduct air patrols of the Malacca Strait as part of the ‘Eyes in the Sky Program’. It is clear that both of these patrols have had a positive impact on the
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rates of piracy in the Straits; annual reports from the IMB demonstrate that instances of piracy remained low in the years following the introduction of the maritime and air patrols.\textsuperscript{87}

Despite the success of the littoral states in combatting transnational piracy, there are areas in which improvements could be made to domestic legislation, policies and international agreements so as to further strengthen their ability to protect the Straits. First, the maritime patrols conducted by the littoral states are coordinated as opposed to joint patrols, meaning that there is no right for one state to pursue a pirate vessel into another state’s territorial waters.\textsuperscript{88} This is in contrast to the Eyes in the Sky Program which allows aircraft to pursue a pirate vessel through another state’s territory.\textsuperscript{89} The establishment of an agreement between the littoral states to allow joint patrols into areas in which piracy frequently occurs would allow the enforcement of jurisdiction over the pirates inside another state’s territory.\textsuperscript{90} This would increase the capacity of the patrols to arrest and prosecute suspected pirates and be beneficial to the control of piracy in the Straits.

Additionally, the establishment of a trilateral information and intelligence exchange agreement between the littoral states would allow greater coordination in relation to incidences of piracy. Such an agreement could mirror an arrangement between the Philippines, Indonesia and Malaysia which established a framework of areas in which the states would cooperate, how jurisdiction for transnational crimes would be determined, and how information would be communicated between the states.\textsuperscript{91} This would prove beneficial to the littoral states not only in the ability to better coordinate patrols to arrest suspected pirates, but also if issues of jurisdiction arose as to which state should have the capacity to prosecute each offender.

A further method of transnational cooperation that could be used to great effect by the littoral states in the Straits is the introduction of shiprider agreements. Shiprider agreements facilitate the placement of law
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enforcement personnel from one state on board another state’s vessel. Whilst on board, the shiprider has the authority to permit pirates to be chased into their state’s territorial waters. This would address the current inability of the littoral states to engage in hot pursuit of pirate vessels and provide an additional advantage of being able to carry out investigations on behalf of their state in accordance with their national laws. Such agreements have been put in place between other states, and their usefulness has been lauded by the United Nations Security Council. Within the context of the Straits, the implementation of a trilateral shiprider agreement would further strengthen the ability of the littoral states to effectively combat transnational piracy.

As a whole, the efforts of the littoral states to address piracy within the Straits have been successful as evidenced by the decline in incidences of piracy since the introduction of a trilateral agreement between the nations. Due to the close-packed nature of territorial jurisdictions within the Straits, trilateral cooperation in the form of coordinated border patrols is an effective way to manage the occurrence of piracy. However, greater trilateral coordination in the form of consistent legislation, permission to carry out hot pursuits of suspected pirates, greater information sharing and the introduction of shiprider agreements would almost certainly increase the ability to manage the transnational nature of piracy within the Straits.

Illegal Wildlife Trafficking: Thailand, South East Asian and Asean Responses

The illegal trafficking of wildlife is considered to be a transnational crime of serious concern to all nations, both in terms of the threat it poses to biodiversity through excessive hunting, and its deleterious impact on the social and economic growth potential of humans. The combined value of the illegal wildlife trade is estimated at US $2.5 billion per year, of which 25% is
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believed to derive from South East Asia.99 Wildlife may be illegally trafficked from one corner of the globe to the other, making this a transnational crime that requires strong legislation and enforcement domestically, as well as regional and worldwide cooperation.

The first global effort to combat the illegal trafficking of wildlife was established by the United Nations Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), passed in 1973.100 CITES was established with the aim of ensuring that the international trade in wildlife would not threaten their survival,101 however its usefulness as a tool to combat wildlife trafficking is somewhat limited. Though the vast majority of states, and all countries in South East Asia are now a party to CITES,102 it lacks the force of law unless implemented into domestic legislation and effectively enforced.103

Further, the necessity of domestic legislation for enforcement has additional weakness. In situations where states fail to enact legislation that adequately matches the standard set by CITES, or the penalties for contravention are weak, traffickers are able to exploit loopholes in laws and are not sufficiently deterred from engaging in the behaviour.104 This weakness is demonstrated by the trade in ivory within Thailand, where it remains legal to trade in domestic ivory.105 This loophole has been exploited to great effect by traffickers of African elephant ivory who are able to ‘launder’ illegally imported ivory so that it may be passed off as legal domestic ivory within Thailand.106

Global awareness of the lax efforts to curb ivory trafficking in Thailand led to a request by the
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Conference of Parties to CITES for Thailand to develop a national ivory action plan (NIAP) in 2013,\(^{107}\) followed by a revision of that plan in 2014.\(^{108}\) Following these recommendations, Thailand has been implementing its revised NIAP as of October 2014\(^ {109}\) and has introduced new\(^ {110}\) and amended legislation\(^ {111}\) to improve control of both the legal domestic and illegal international ivory trade. In addition, stronger law enforcement, monitoring of the domestic trade, increased customs inspections and the sharing of intelligence and information both domestically and internationally has resulted in over 15 cases of arrests and the confiscation of at least 13 tonnes of ivory since October 2014.\(^ {112}\) The recent success of Thailand in addressing the illegal trafficking of ivory can be seen as a direct result of their commitment to work as part of a global network to combat the transnational illegal wildlife trade.

However, the usefulness of a state acting alone to increase law enforcement controls is also limited as this may lead to traffickers simply redirecting their efforts into countries with weaker control mechanisms.\(^ {113}\) In effect this means that the success of combating illegal wildlife trafficking is dependent on how all source, transit and destination countries of illegal wildlife cooperate in the areas of legislation, policy, information sharing and enforcement. Evidence of the effectiveness of multinational enforcement cooperation to combat wildlife trafficking is provided by a 2014 operation in Africa, referred to as Operation Cobra II which involved law enforcement operatives from 28 source, transit and destination countries across the world.\(^ {114}\) Cooperation between
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police, customs and wildlife officials involving information sharing and coordinated operations resulted in the arrest of more than 400 individuals and 350 illegal wildlife seizures.\textsuperscript{115} Such success provides support for the necessity of international cooperation between all countries that have an interest in preventing wildlife trafficking.

In recognition of the importance of regional action in combatting illegal wildlife trafficking, a combined South East Asian response has been the focus of ASEAN members since 2004.\textsuperscript{116} The ASEAN Statement on CITES outlined important areas of cooperation and pledged to establish a Regional Action Plan to achieve the objects of the Statement.\textsuperscript{117} This pledge was realised with the establishment of the ASEAN Wildlife Enforcement Network (ASEAN-WEN) in 2005, and marks the first time that all South East Asian nations have entered into cooperative efforts to curb the transnational trafficking of wildlife.\textsuperscript{118} ASEAN-WEN promotes the establishment of uniform legislation and policies, the strengthening of international law enforcement collaborations, and increased awareness of the legality of the trade in wildlife.\textsuperscript{119} Together with wildlife enforcement networks that have been established in all ASEAN countries, Asia’s Regional Response to Endangered Species Trafficking (ARREST) Program, and TRAFFIC South East Asia,\textsuperscript{120} ASEAN-WEN has had great success, as demonstrated by the number of law enforcement actions reported in their annual updates.\textsuperscript{121}

The actions of both Thailand and ASEAN as a whole indicate that the special workshop of the ASEAN Experts Group on CITES (27 May 2011).
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trafficking of wildlife can only be effectively combatted through domestic efforts combined with a strong regional commitment. The progress of Thailand in implementing its NIAP to combat the illegal trade in ivory emphasises that domestic action is essential to address transnational crime. However, the cooperation of nations is essential when confronted with a transnational crime as fluid as the illegal trafficking of wildlife.

III. CONCLUSION

The growing phenomenon of transnational organised crime is one which affects all nations, but has the potential for its greatest impact when efforts to address it are not coordinated across national boundaries. As demonstrated through the above analysis of wildlife trafficking, human trafficking, piracy and terrorism, the methods used to combat transnational organised crime must not only be coordinated on an international level, but also tailored to the unique qualities of the specific crime. This is because each crime has its impact at different points within individual countries and affects different countries in varying ways. Maritime piracy tends to affect countries with shared national borders as pirates move seamlessly across territorial boundaries, meaning that international cooperation must take this into account to be successful. Other crimes such as wildlife or human trafficking have their impact over a wider range of countries, meaning that strategies such as uniformly strong legislation, information and intelligence sharing may be more effective in combatting transnational organised crime. For all transnational crimes, what is imperative is that nations do not act alone, and instead cooperate through employing methods tailored to the crime in question.
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